

CLASSIFICATION OF HOMOMORPHISMS FROM $C_0(0, 1]$ TO A C^* -ALGEBRA

LEONEL ROBERT AND LUIS SANTIAGO

ABSTRACT. A class of C^* -algebras is described for which the homomorphism from $C_0(0, 1]$ to the algebra may be classified by means of the Cuntz semigroup functor. Examples are given of algebras—simple and non-simple—for which this classification fails. It is shown that a suitable suspension of the Cuntz semigroup functor deals successfully with some of these counterexamples.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the question of classifying the homomorphisms from $C_0(0, 1]$ to a C^* -algebra A . In [2], Ciuperca and Elliott show that if A has stable rank 1 then this classification is possible—up to approximate unitary equivalence—by means of the the Cuntz semigroup functor. They define a pseudometric d_W on the morphisms from $\text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1])$ to $\text{Cu}(A)$, and show if A has stable rank 1 then $d_W(\text{Cu}(\phi), \text{Cu}(\psi)) = 0$ for $\phi, \psi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A$ if and only if ϕ and ψ are approximately unitarily equivalent by unitaries in $A^\sim$ (the unitization of A).

A classification result in the same spirit as Ciuperca and Elliott's result is Thomsen's [10, Theorem 1.2]. Thomsen shows that if X is a locally compact Hausdorff space such that $\dim X \leq 2$ and $\check{H}^2(X) = 0$, then the approximate unitary equivalence class of a positive element in $M_n(C_0(X))$ is determined by its eigenvalue functions.

Theorem 1 below applies to a class of C^* -algebras that contains both the stable rank 1 C^* -algebras and the C^* -algebras considered by Thomsen. For this class of algebras the classification of homomorphisms by the functor $\text{Cu}(\cdot)$ must be rephrased in terms of stable approximate unitary equivalence. Given $\phi, \psi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A$ we say that ϕ and ψ are stably approximately unitarily equivalent if there are unitaries $u_n \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $u_n \phi u_n^* \rightarrow \psi$ pointwise (where A is identified with the top left corner of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$). If A is stable or has stable rank 1, then stable approximate unitary equivalence coincides with approximate unitary equivalence, but these relations might differ in general.

The following theorem characterizes the C^* -algebras for which the pseudometric d_W (defined in the next section) determines the stable approximate unitary equivalence classes of homomorphism from $C_0(0, 1]$ to the algebra.

Theorem 1. *Let A be a C^* -algebra. The following propositions are equivalent.*

(I) For all $x, e \in A$ with e a positive contraction and $ex = xe = x$, we have that $x^*x + e$ is stably approximately unitarily equivalent to $xx^* + e$.

(II) If $\phi, \psi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A$ are such that $d_W(\text{Cu}(\phi), \text{Cu}(\psi)) = 0$ then ϕ is stably approximately unitarily equivalent to ψ .

If (I) and (II) hold then

$$(1) \quad d_W(\phi, \psi) \leq d_U(\phi, \psi) \leq 4d_W(\phi, \psi).$$

In (1) d_U denotes the distance between the stable unitary orbits of $\phi(\text{id})$ and $\psi(\text{id})$, where $\text{id} \in C_0(0, 1]$ is the identity function. The inequalities (1) are derived in [2] for the stable rank 1 case, though their factor of 8 has now been improved to 4.

By the bijective correspondence $\phi \mapsto \phi(\text{id})$ between homomorphisms $\phi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A$ and positive contractions of A , the proposition (II) of the previous theorem may be restated as a classification of the stable unitary orbits of positive contractions in terms of the Cuntz equivalence relation of positive elements.

The following theorem extends Ciuperca and Elliott's classification result beyond the stable rank 1 case.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $(A \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$ has the property (I) of Theorem 1. Let $h_A \in A^+$ be strictly positive. Then for every $\alpha: \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1]) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(A)$, morphism in the category **Cu**, with $\alpha([\text{id}]) \leq [h_A]$, there is $\phi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A$, unique up to stable approximate unitary equivalence, such that $\text{Cu}(\phi) = \alpha$.*

The class of algebras that satisfy (I) is closed under the passage to quotients, hereditary subalgebras, and inductive limits (see Proposition 4 below). This class is strictly larger than the class of stable rank 1 C^* -algebras. Any commutative C^* -algebra satisfies (I). If X is a locally compact Hausdorff space with $\dim X \leq 2$ and $\check{H}^2(X) = 0$ (the Čech cohomology with integer coefficients), then we deduce from [10, Theorem 1.2] that $(C_0(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$ satisfies (I) (and so, Theorem 2 is applicable to $C_0(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$). On the other hand, the C^* -algebra $M_2(C(S^2))$, with S^2 the 2-dimensional sphere, does not satisfy (I). In fact, there exists a pair of homomorphisms $\phi, \psi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow M_2(C(S^2))$ such that $\text{Cu}(\phi) = \text{Cu}(\psi)$ but ϕ is not stably approximately unitarily equivalent to ψ (see Example 6 below). This phenomenon is not restricted to non-simple AH C^* -algebras: by a slight variation—to suit our purposes—of the inductive limit systems constructed by Villadsen in [13], we construct a simple, stable, AH C^* -algebra for which the Cuntz semigroup functor does not classify the homomorphism from $C_0(0, 1]$ into the algebra (see Theorem 7). These counterexamples raise the question of what additional data is necessary to classify, up to stable approximate unitary equivalence, the homomorphisms from $C_0(0, 1]$ to an arbitrary C^* -algebra. In the last section of this paper we take a step in this direction by proving the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let A be an inductive limit of the form $\varinjlim C(X_i) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, with X_i compact metric spaces, and $\dim X_i \leq 2$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Let*

$\phi, \psi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A$ be homomorphisms such that $\text{Cu}(\phi \otimes \text{Id}) = \text{Cu}(\psi \otimes \text{Id})$, where $\text{Id}: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow C_0(0, 1]$ is the identity homomorphism. Then ϕ and ψ are approximately unitarily equivalent.

2. PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

In this section we collect a number of definitions and results that will be used throughout the paper.

2.1. Relations on positive elements. Let A be a C^* -algebra and let a and b be positive elements of A . Let us say that

(i) a is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to b if there is $x \in A$ such that $a = x^*x$ and $b = xx^*$; we denote this by $a \sim b$,

(ii) a is approximately Murray-von Neumann equivalent to b if there are $x_n \in A$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $x_n^*x_n \rightarrow a$ and $x_nx_n^* \rightarrow b$; we denote this by $a \sim_{ap} b$,

(iii) a is stably approximately unitarily equivalent to b if there are unitaries $u_n \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$, such that $u_n^*au_n \rightarrow b$, where A is identified with the top left corner of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$,

(iv) a is Cuntz smaller than b if there are $d_n \in A$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $d_n^*bd_n \rightarrow a$; we denote this by $a \preceq_{Cu} b$,

(v) a is Cuntz equivalent to b if $a \preceq_{Cu} b$ and $b \preceq_{Cu} a$, and we denote this by $a \sim_{Cu} b$.

We have (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (v). By [11, Remark 1.8], approximate Murray-von Neumann equivalence is the same as stable approximate unitary equivalence. We will make frequent use of this fact throughout the paper. The relations (i), (ii), and (iii) will also be applied to homomorphisms from $C_0(0, 1]$ to A , via the bijection $\phi \mapsto \phi(\text{id})$ from these homomorphisms into the positive contractions of A .

We will make frequent use of the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let $a \in A^+$ and $x \in A$ be such that $\|a - x^*x\| < \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is y such that $(a - \varepsilon)_+ = y^*y$, $yy^* \leq xx^*$, and $\|y - x\| < C\varepsilon^{1/2}\|a\|$. The constant C is universal.*

Proof. The proof works along the same lines as the proof of [5, Lemma 2.2] (see also [7, Lemma 1]). We briefly sketch the argument here. We have $a - \varepsilon_1 \leq x^*x$, with ε_1 such that $\|a - x^*x\| < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon$. So $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \leq ex^*xe$, with $e \in C^*(a)$ such that $e(a - \varepsilon_1)e = (a - \varepsilon)_+$. Set $xe = \tilde{x}$ and let $\tilde{x} = v|\tilde{x}|$ be its polar decomposition. Then $y = v(a - \varepsilon)_+^{1/2}$ has the properties stated in the proposition. $\square$

It follows from the previous proposition (or from [5, Lemma 2.2]), that Cuntz comparison can be described in terms of Murray-von Neumann equivalence as follows: $a \preceq_{Cu} b$ if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is b' such that $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \sim b' \in \text{Her}(b)$. Here $\text{Her}(b)$ denotes the hereditary subalgebra generated by b . We also have the following corollary of Proposition 1.

Corollary 1. *If $a, b \in B^+ \subseteq A^+$, where B is a hereditary subalgebra of A , then $a \sim_{ap} b$ in A if and only if $a \sim_{ap} b$ in B .*

Proof. If w^*w and ww^* belong to B for some $w \in A$, then $w \in B$. Thus, the proposition follows if a and b are Murray-von Neumann equivalent.

Suppose that $a \sim_{ap} b$. We may assume without loss of generality that a and b are contractions. For $\varepsilon > 0$ let $x \in A$ be such that $\|a - x^*x\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|b - xx^*\| < \varepsilon$. Then by Proposition 1 there exists y such that $(a - \varepsilon)_+ = y^*y$ and $\|yy^* - b\| \leq C_1\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ for some constant C_1 . Applying Proposition 1 again we get that there exists $z \in A$ such that $(yy^* - \varepsilon)_+ = z^*z$, $\|zz^* - b\| \leq C_2\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}$, and $zz^* \leq b$, for some constant C_2 . Set $zz^* = b'$. We have $(a - 2\varepsilon)_+ \sim (yy^* - \varepsilon)_+ \sim b'$ and $b' \in B$. So there is $w \in B$ such that $(a - 2\varepsilon)_+ = w^*w$ and $b' = ww^*$. Since $\|b' - b\| \leq C_2\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}$ and ε is arbitrary, the desired result follows. $\square$

2.2. The Cuntz semigroup. Let us briefly recall the definition of the (stabilized) Cuntz semigroup in terms of the positive elements of the stabilization of the algebra (see [8] and [3]). Let A be a C^* -algebra. Given $a \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})^+$ let us denote by $[a]$ the Cuntz equivalence class of a . The Cuntz semigroup of A is defined as the set of Cuntz equivalence classes of positive elements of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. This set, denoted by $\text{Cu}(A)$, is endowed with the order such that $[a] \leq [b]$ if $a \preceq_{Cu} b$, and the addition operation $[a] + [b] := [a' + b']$, where a' and b' are mutually orthogonal and Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a and b , respectively.

If $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ then $\text{Cu}(\phi): \text{Cu}(A) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(B)$ is defined by $\text{Cu}(\phi)([a]) := [\phi(a)]$. Coward, Elliott, and Ivanescu, showed in [3] that $\text{Cu}(\cdot)$ is a functor from the category of C^* -algebras to a certain category of ordered semigroups denoted by **Cu**. In order to describe this category, let us first recall the definition of the far below relation. Let S be an ordered set such that the suprema of increasing sequences always exists in S . For x and y in S , let us say that x is far below y , and denote it by $x \ll y$, if for every increasing sequence (y_n) such that $y \leq \sup_n y_n$, we have $x \leq y_k$ for some k .

An ordered semigroups S is an object of the Cuntz category **Cu** if it has a 0 element and satisfies that

(1) if (x_n) is an increasing sequence of elements of S then $\sup_n x_n$ exists in S ,

(2) if (x_n) and (y_n) are increasing sequences in S then $\sup_n (x_n + y_n) = \sup_n x_n + \sup_n y_n$,

(3) for every $x \in S$ there is a sequence (x_n) with supremum x and such that $x_n \ll x_{n+1}$ for all n ,

(4) if $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in S$ satisfy $x_1 \ll y_1$ and $x_2 \ll y_2$, then $x_1 + x_2 \ll y_1 + y_2$. The morphisms of the category **Cu** are the order preserving semigroup maps that also preserve the suprema of increasing sequences, the far below relation, and the 0 element.

2.3. The pseudometrics d_U and d_W . Let us identify the C^* -algebra A with the top left corner of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Given positive elements $a, b \in A$ let us

denote by $d_U(a, b)$ the distance between the unitary orbits of a and b in $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ (with the unitaries taken in $(A \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$).

Following Ciuperca and Elliott (see [2]), let us define a pseudometric on the morphisms from $\text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1])$ to $\text{Cu}(A)$ as follows:

$$(2) \quad d_W(\alpha, \beta) := \inf \left\{ r \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid \begin{array}{l} \alpha([e_{t+r}]) \leq \beta([e_t]), \\ \beta([e_{t+r}]) \leq \alpha([e_t]), \end{array} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\},$$

where $\alpha, \beta: \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1]) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(A)$ are morphisms in the Cuntz category and e_t is the function $e_t(x) = \max(x - t, 0)$, for $x \geq 0$. It is easily shown that d_W is a pseudometric.

Notation convention. All throughout the paper we will use the notations $(a - t)_+$ and $e_t(a)$ interchangeably, both meaning the positive element obtained evaluating the function $e_t(x)$ on a given selfadjoint element a .

The pseudometric d_W may be used to define a pseudometric—that we also denote by d_W —on the positive elements of norm at most 1 by setting $d_W(a, b) := d_W(\text{Cu}(\phi), \text{Cu}(\psi))$, where $\phi, \psi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A$ are such that $\phi(\text{id}) = a$ and $\psi(\text{id}) = b$. We have

$$(3) \quad d_W(a, b) = \inf \left\{ r \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid \begin{array}{l} e_{t+r}(a) \preceq_{Cu} e_t(b), \\ e_{t+r}(b) \preceq_{Cu} e_t(a), \end{array} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\}.$$

Notice that (3) makes sense for arbitrary positive elements a and b without assuming that they are contractions. We extend d_W to all positive elements using (3).

The following lemma relates the metrics d_U and d_W in a general C^* -algebra (this is [2, Corollary 9.1]).

Lemma 1. *For all $a, b \in A^+$ we have $d_W(a, b) \leq d_U(a, b) \leq \|a - b\|$.*

Proof. Let r be such that $\|a - b\| < r$ and choose r_1 such that $\|a - b\| < r_1 < r$. Then for all $t \geq 0$ we have $a - t - r_1 \leq b - t$. Multiplying this inequality on the left and the right by $e^{1/2}$, where $e \in C^*(a)$ is such $e(a - t - r_1) = (a - t - r_1)_+ = e_{t+r}(a)$, we have

$$e_{t+r}(a) \leq e^{1/2}(b - t)e^{1/2} \leq e^{1/2}(b - t)_+e^{1/2} \preceq_{Cu} e_t(b),$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Similarly we deduce that $e_{t+r}(b) \preceq_{Cu} e_t(a)$ for all $t \geq 0$. It follows that $d_W(a, b) \leq \|a - b\|$. Since d_W is invariant by stable unitary equivalence, $d_W(a, b) \leq \|a - ubu^*\|$ for any u unitary in $(A \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$. Hence $d_W(a, b) \leq d_U(a, b)$. $\square$

The question of whether d_W —as defined in (2)—is a metric is linked to the property of weak cancellation in the Cuntz semigroup. Let us say that a semigroup in the category **Cu** has weak cancellation if $x + z \ll y + z$ implies $x \leq y$ for elements x, y , and z in the semigroup. It was proven in [2] that if $\text{Cu}(A)$ has weak cancellation then d_W is a metric on the morphisms from $\text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1])$ to $\text{Cu}(A)$. Since this result is not explicitly stated in that paper, we reprove it here.

Proposition 2. (*Ciuperca, Elliott [2]*) *If $Cu(A)$ has weak cancellation then d_W is a metric on the Cuntz category morphisms from $Cu(C_0(0, 1])$ to $Cu(A)$.*

Proof. By [7, Theorem 1], the map $[f] \mapsto (t \mapsto \text{rank } f(t))$ is a well defined isomorphism from $Cu(C_0(0, 1])$ to the ordered semigroup of lower semicontinuous functions from $(0, 1]$ to $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. This isomorphism maps $[e_t]$ to $\mathbf{1}_{(t, 1]}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, with $\mathbf{1}_{(t, 1]}$ the characteristic function of $(t, 1]$. Let us identify $Cu(C_0(0, 1])$ with the semigroup of lower semicontinuous functions from $(0, 1]$ to $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ in this way. Then $d_W(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ says that $\alpha(\mathbf{1}_{(t, 1]}) = \beta(\mathbf{1}_{(t, 1]})$ for all t . In order to show that α and β are equal it suffices to show that they agree on the functions $\mathbf{1}_{(s, t)}$ (their overall equality then follows by additivity and preservation of suprema of increasing sequences).

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\mathbf{1}_{(s+\varepsilon, t-\varepsilon)}) + \alpha(\mathbf{1}_{(t-\varepsilon, 1]}) &\ll \alpha(\mathbf{1}_{(s, 1]}) = \beta(\mathbf{1}_{(s, 1]}) \\ &\leq \beta(\mathbf{1}_{(s, t)}) + \beta(\mathbf{1}_{(t-\varepsilon, 1]}) \\ &= \beta(\mathbf{1}_{(s, t)}) + \alpha(\mathbf{1}_{(t-\varepsilon, 1]}). \end{aligned}$$

Since A has weak cancellation $\alpha(\mathbf{1}_{(s+\varepsilon, t-\varepsilon)}) \leq \beta(\mathbf{1}_{(s, t)})$. Passing to the supremum over $\varepsilon > 0$ we get that $\alpha(\mathbf{1}_{(s, t)}) \leq \beta(\mathbf{1}_{(s, t)})$. By symmetry we also have $\beta(\mathbf{1}_{(s, t)}) \leq \alpha(\mathbf{1}_{(s, t)})$. Hence, $\alpha(\mathbf{1}_{(s, t)}) = \beta(\mathbf{1}_{(s, t)})$. $\square$

Rørdam and Winter showed in [9, Theorem 4.3] that if A has stable rank 1 then $Cu(A)$ has weak cancellation. In the next section we will extend this result to the case when the property (I) of Theorem 1 holds in $(A \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$.

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1 AND 2

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1. In this subsection we prove Theorem 1 of the introduction.

For positive elements $a, b \in A^+$ we use the notation $a \triangleleft b$ to mean that b is a unit for a , that is to say, $ab = ba = a$. We start with a lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let A be a C^* -algebra such that the property (I) of Theorem 1 holds in A . Let $e, f, \alpha, \beta \in A^+$ be such that e is a contraction, and*

$$\alpha \triangleleft e, \alpha \sim \beta \triangleleft f, \text{ and } f \sim f' \triangleleft e \text{ for some } f' \in A^+.$$

Then for every $\delta > 0$ there are $\alpha', e' \in A^+$ such that

$$\alpha' \triangleleft e' \triangleleft e, \quad \beta + f \sim \alpha' + e', \text{ and } \|\alpha - \alpha'\| < \delta.$$

Proof. Since $f \sim f'$ there exists x such that $f = x^*x$ and $xx^* = f'$. Let $x = w|x|$ be the polar decomposition of x in the bidual of A . We have $wfw^* = f'$. Set $w\beta w^* = \alpha_1$. Then $\alpha_1 \sim \alpha$, $\alpha_1 \triangleleft e$, and $\alpha \triangleleft e$. Hence $\alpha_1 + e \sim_{ap} \alpha + e$. By Proposition 1 this implies that for every $\delta' > 0$ there

is $z \in A$ such that

$$(4) \quad (\alpha_1 + e - \delta')_+ = z^* z, \quad zz^* \leq \alpha + e, \text{ and}$$

$$(5) \quad \|zz^* - (\alpha + e)\| < C\sqrt{\delta'}.$$

Let $z = w_1|z|$ be the polar decomposition of z in the bidual of A . Since e is a unit for α_1 we have $(\alpha_1 + e - \delta')_+ = \alpha_1 + (e - \delta')_+$. It follows that the map $c \mapsto w_1 c w_1^*$, sends the elements of $\text{Her}((e - \delta')_+)$ into $\text{Her}(e)$. By (5) if we let $\delta' \rightarrow 0$ then $(zz^* - 1)_+$ can be made arbitrarily close to $(\alpha + e - 1)_+$. Since $(zz^* - 1)_+ = w_1(a_1 - \delta')_+ w_1^*$ and $(\alpha + e - 1)_+ = \alpha$, this means that we can choose δ' small enough so that $\|w_1 \alpha_1 w_1^* - \alpha\| < \delta$. Let $\alpha' = w_1 \alpha_1 w_1^*$, $e' = w_1 f' w_1^*$, and $y = w_1 w(\beta + f)^{1/2}$. Then $\beta + f = y^* y$ and $yy^* = \alpha' + e'$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. (II) $\Rightarrow$ (I). Let $\phi, \psi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A$ be the homomorphism such that

$$\phi(\text{id}) = \frac{1}{\|x\|^2 + 1}(x^* x + e) \text{ and } \psi(\text{id}) = \frac{1}{\|x\|^2 + 1}(xx^* + e).$$

From the definition of the pseudometric d_W we see $d_W(\text{Cu}(\phi), \text{Cu}(\psi)) = \frac{1}{\|x\|^2 + 1} d_W(x^* x + e, xx^* + e)$. In order to prove that $x^* x + e$ is stably approximately unitarily equivalent to $xx^* + e$ it is enough to show that

$$d_W(x^* x + e, xx^* + e) = 0.$$

That is, $(x^* x + e - t)_+ \sim_{Cu} (xx^* + e - t)_+$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Using that e is a unit for $x^* x$ and xx^* we deduce that

$$(x^* x + e - t)_+ = x^* x + (e - t)_+, \quad (xx^* + e - t)_+ = xx^* + (e - t)_+,$$

for $0 \leq t < 1$. Also, $x^* x(e - t)_+ = x^* x(1 - t)$ and $xx^*(e - t)_+ = xx^*(1 - t)$. It follows that $x^* x$ and xx^* belong to the hereditary algebra generated by $(e - t)_+$. Therefore,

$$(x^* x + e - t)_+ \sim_{Cu} (e - t)_+ \sim_{Cu} (xx^* + e - t)_+, \text{ for } 0 \leq t < 1.$$

If $t \geq 1$ then $(x^* x + e - t)_+ = (x^* x + 1 - t)_+$ and $(xx^* + e - t)_+ = (xx^* + 1 - t)_+$. Hence, $(x^* x + e - t)_+ \sim_{Cu} (xx^* + e - t)_+$ for $t \geq 1$.

(I) $\Rightarrow$ (II). Set $\phi(\text{id}) = a$ and $\psi(\text{id}) = b$. Let r be such that $d_W(a, b) < r$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be the number such that $mr \leq 1 < (m + 1)r$. Finally, let the sequences $(a_i)_{i=1}^{m+1}, (b_i)_{i=1}^{m+1}$ be defined as $a_i = \xi_{m-i+1}(a)$, $b_i = \xi_{m-i+1}(b)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m + 1$, where $\xi_i \in C_0(0, 1]$ is such that $\mathbf{1}_{(ir+\varepsilon, 1]} \leq \xi_i \leq \mathbf{1}_{(ir, 1]}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is chosen small enough so that $d_W(a, b) + 2\varepsilon < r$.

The sequences $(a_i)_{i=1}^{m+1}$ and $(b_i)_{i=1}^{m+1}$ satisfy that

$$a_i \triangleleft a_{i+1}, \quad b_i \triangleleft b_{i+1}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$a_i \sim d_i \triangleleft b_{i+1}, \quad b_i \sim c_i \triangleleft a_{i+1}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m,$$

for some positive elements c_i and d_i . The first line follows trivially from the definition of the elements a_i and b_i . Let us prove the second line. From $d_W(a, b) < r - 2\varepsilon$ we get

$$e_{(m-i+1)r-\varepsilon}(a) \preceq_{Cu} e_{(m-i)r+\varepsilon}(b) \triangleleft b_{i+1}.$$

By the definition of Cuntz comparison there exists $d \in A^+$ such that $e_{(m-i+1)r}(a) \sim d \triangleleft b_{i+1}$. Since a_i is expressible by functional calculus as a function of $e_{(m-i+1)r}(a)$, we get that there exists $d_i \in A^+$ such that $a_i \sim d_i \triangleleft b_{i+1}$. We reason similarly to get the existence of c_i .

Let us now show by induction on n , for $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$, that there are sequences of elements $(a'_i)_{i=1}^n$ and $(b'_i)_{i=1}^n$ such that

$$(6) \quad a'_i \triangleleft a'_{i+1}, \quad b'_i \triangleleft b'_{i+1}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

$$(7) \quad \|a_i - a'_i\| < \varepsilon, \text{ for } i \text{ odd, } i \leq n,$$

$$(8) \quad \|b_i - b'_i\| < \varepsilon, \text{ for } i \text{ even, } i \leq n,$$

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i \sim \sum_{i=1}^n b'_i,$$

and $a'_n = a_n$, $b'_n \triangleleft b_{n+1}$ if n is odd, and $b'_n = b_n$, $a'_n \triangleleft a_{n+1}$ if n is even.

Since $a_1 \sim d_1 \triangleleft b_2$, the induction hypothesis holds for $n = 1$ taking $b'_1 = d_1$. Suppose the induction holds for n and let us show that it also holds for $n+1$. Let us consider the case that n is odd (the case that n is even is dealt with similarly). We set $b'_{n+1} = b_{n+1}$ and leave the sequence $(b'_i)_{i=1}^n$ unchanged. We are going to modify the sequence $(a'_i)_{i=1}^n$ in order to complete the induction step. Let $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i$, $e = a_{n+2}$, $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n b'_i$, $f = b'_{n+1}$. Then the conditions of the previous lemma apply. We thus have that for every $\delta > 0$ there are α' and e' , such that

$$\alpha' \triangleleft e' \triangleleft a_{n+2}, \quad \|\alpha - \alpha'\| < \delta, \text{ and } \beta + f \sim \alpha' + e'.$$

It follows that $\beta \sim \alpha'$, and so $\alpha' = \sum_{i=1}^n a''_i$, with $a''_i \triangleleft a'_{i+1}$. We remark that the elements a'_i are all in the C^* -algebra generated by α and the elements a''_i are in the C^* -algebra generated by α' . In fact,

$$(10) \quad (\alpha - i)_+ - (\alpha - (i-1))_+ = a'_i,$$

$$(11) \quad (\alpha' - i)_+ - (\alpha' - (i-1))_+ = a''_i.$$

Therefore, we may choose the number δ sufficiently small so that $\|a_i - a''_i\| < \varepsilon$ for all $i \leq n$. We now rename the sequence $(a''_i)_{i=1}^n$ as $(a'_i)_{i=1}^n$ and set $a'_{n+1} = e'$. From $\beta + f \sim \alpha' + e'$ we get that $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b'_i \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a'_i$. This completes the induction.

Continuing the induction up to $n = m$ we find $(a'_i)_{i=1}^m$ and $(b'_i)_{i=1}^m$ that satisfy (6)-(9).

For the last part of the proof we split the analysis in to cases, m even and m odd.

Suppose that $m = 2k + 1$. We have

$$(12) \quad \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} a'_i}{2k+1} \sim \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} b'_i}{2k+1}.$$

Let a' denote the sum on the left side of the last equation, and b' the sum on the right. Let us show that $\|a' - a\| < 2r + 2\varepsilon$ and $\|b - b'\| < 2r + 2\varepsilon$.

Since $a'_i \triangleleft a'_{i+1}$ for all i and $\|a'_i\| \leq 1$ for all i , we have $a'_i \leq a'_{i+1}$ for all i . Hence,

$$\frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^k a'_{2i-1} + a'_{2k+1}}{2k+1} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} a'_i}{2k+1} \leq \frac{a'_1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k a'_{2i+1}}{2k+1}.$$

Using that $\|a'_i - a_i\| < \varepsilon$ for i odd in the above inequalities we obtain

$$\frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^k a_{2i-1} + a_{2k+1}}{2k+1} - \varepsilon \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} a'_i}{2k+1} \leq \frac{a_1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k a_{2i+1}}{2k+1} + \varepsilon.$$

It follows now from the inequalities

$$\frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_{2i-1}(t) + \xi_{2k+1}(t)}{2k+1} \leq t + 2r + \varepsilon, \quad t - 2r - \varepsilon \leq \frac{\xi_1(t) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_{2i+1}(t)}{2k+1},$$

that

$$a - 2r - 2\varepsilon \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} a'_i}{2k+1} \leq a + 2r + 2\varepsilon.$$

Therefore $\|a - a'\| < 2r + 2\varepsilon$.

Let us show that $\|b - b'\| < 2r + 2\varepsilon$. Using that $b'_i \leq b'_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$, that $b'_{2k+1} \leq b_{2k+2}$, and that $\|b'_i - b_i\| < \varepsilon$ for all i even, we obtain the inequalities

$$\frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^k b_{2i}}{2k+1} - \varepsilon \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} b'_i}{2k+1} \leq \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^k b_{2i} + b_{2k+2}}{2k+1} + \varepsilon.$$

It follows from the estimates

$$\frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_{2i}(t)}{2k+1} \geq t - 2r - \varepsilon, \quad \frac{\xi_0(t) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_{2i}(t)}{2k+1} \leq t + 2r + \varepsilon,$$

that

$$b - 2r - 2\varepsilon \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} b'_i}{2k+1} \leq b + 2r + 2\varepsilon.$$

Hence $\|b - b'\| < 2r + 2\varepsilon$.

We have found $a', b' \in A^+$ such that $a' \sim b'$, $\|a' - a\| < 2r + 2\varepsilon$ and $\|b - b'\| < 2r + 2\varepsilon$. Therefore $d_U(a, b) \leq 4r + 4\varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary the desired result follows.

For the case that $m = 2k$ we take $a' = \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^{2k} a'_i$ and $b' = \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^{2k} b'_i$, and we reason similarly to how we did in the odd case to obtain that $\|a' - a\| < 2r + 2\varepsilon$ and $\|b - b'\| < 2r + 2\varepsilon$. $\square$

Corollary 2. *Let A be a C^* -algebra with the property (I) of Theorem 1. The following propositions hold true:*

- (i) *If a and b are positive elements of A such that $d_W(a, b) < r$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $b' \in A^+$ such that $\|a - b'\| < 4r$ and $d_U(b, b') < \varepsilon$.*
- (ii) *The set of positive elements of A is complete with respect to the pseudometric d_U .*

Proof. (i) We may assume without loss of generality that a and b are contractions. We may also assume that A is σ -unital by passing to the subalgebra $\text{Her}(a, b)$ if necessary (the property (I) holds for hereditary subalgebras by Proposition 4). Let $c \in A^+$ be strictly positive. By the continuity of the pseudometrics d_U and d_W (see Lemma 1), it is enough to prove the desired proposition assuming that a and b belong to a dense subset of A^+ . Thus, we may assume that $a, b \in \text{Her}((c - \delta)_+)$ for some $\delta > 0$. From $d_W(a, b) < r$ and the proof of Theorem 1 we get that there is $x \in \text{Her}((c - \delta)_+)$ such that

$$\|a - x^*x\| < 2r, \quad \|b - xx^*\| < 2r.$$

Let $e \in A^+$ be a positive contraction that is a unit for the subalgebra $\text{Her}((c - \delta)_+)$. Then $x^*x + e \sim_{ap} xx^* + e$. This implies that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a unitary u in $(A \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$ such that

$$\|u^*eu - e\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|u^*x^*xu - xx^*\| < \varepsilon.$$

Set $eubu^*e = b'$. If we take ε small enough such that

$$\|a - x^*x\| < 2r - \varepsilon, \quad \|b - xx^*\| < 2r - \varepsilon,$$

we then have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|a - b'\| &\leq \|a - ubu^*\| < 4r - 2\varepsilon + \|uxx^*u^* - x^*x\| < 4r, \\ \|u^*b'u - b\| &\leq \|u^*eubu^*eu - ebu^*eu\| + \|bu^*eu - be\| < 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From here part (i) of the corollary follows.

(ii) Let $(c_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive elements of A that is Cauchy with respect to the pseudometric d_U . In order to show that $(c_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ converges it is enough to show that it has a convergent subsequence. We may assume, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, that $d_U(c_i, c_{i+1}) < \frac{1}{2^i}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Using mathematical induction we will construct a new sequence $(c'_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ such that

$$(13) \quad \|c'_i - c'_{i+1}\| < \frac{1}{2^{i-3}}, \quad d_U(c_i, c'_i) < \frac{1}{2^i},$$

for all i .

For $n = 1$ we set $c_1 = c'_1$. Suppose that we have constructed c'_i , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and let us construct c'_{n+1} . We have $d_U(c_{n+1}, c_n) < \frac{1}{2^n}$ and $d_U(c'_n, c_n) < \frac{1}{2^n}$ (by the induction hypothesis). Hence $d_U(c'_n, c_{n+1}) < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$, and so $d_W(c'_n, c_{n+1}) < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ (by Lemma (1)). Applying part (i) of the corollary to $a = c'_n$ and $b = c_{n+1}$, we find a positive element d such that

$$\|c'_n - d\| < \frac{1}{2^{n-3}}, \quad d_U(c_{n+1}, d) < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}.$$

Setting $c'_{n+1} = d$ completes the induction.

By (13) the sequence $(c'_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm of A . Hence, it converges to an element $c \in A^+$. Also by (13) we have that $d_U(c_i, c'_i) < \frac{1}{2^i}$ for all i . Hence, $d_U(c_i, c) \leq d_U(c_i, c'_i) + d_U(c'_i, c) \rightarrow 0$. That is, $(c_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ converges to c in the pseudometric d_U . Thus, A^+ is complete with respect to d_U . $\square$

3.2. Approximate existence theorem. Let A be a C^* -algebra and h_A a strictly positive element of A . The main result of this subsection, Theorem 4 below, states that every morphism $\alpha: \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1]) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(A)$ in the category **Cu** such that $\alpha([\text{id}]) \leq [h_A]$, may be approximated in the pseudometric d_W by a morphism of the form $\text{Cu}(\phi)$, with $\phi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A$ a C^* -algebra homomorphism.

Lemma 3. *Let A be a C^* -algebra. The following propositions hold true:*

(i) *If a and b are two positive elements of A such that $a \preceq_{Cu} b$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $b' \in M_2(A)^+$ such that $b' \sim_{Cu} b$ and*

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - b' \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

(ii) *If a and b are two positive elements of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $a \preceq_{Cu} b$ then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $b' \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})^+$ such that $b' \sim_{Cu} b$ and $\|a - b'\| < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. (i) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $a \preceq_{Cu} b$, by [5, Lemma 2.2] there exists $d \in A$ such that $(a - \varepsilon/2)_+ = d^*bd$. Consider the vector $c = (b^{\frac{1}{2}}d, \delta b^{\frac{1}{2}})$, where $\delta > 0$. Then

$$cc^* = b^{\frac{1}{2}}dd^*b^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta^2b \quad \text{and} \quad c^*c = \begin{pmatrix} (a - \varepsilon/2)_+ & \delta d^*b \\ \delta bd & \delta^2b \end{pmatrix}.$$

We may choose δ small enough such that

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - c^*c \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\delta^2b \leq cc^* \leq (\delta^2 + \|d\|^2)b$, we have $cc^* \sim_{Cu} b$. Thus, the desired result follows letting $b' = c^*c$.

(ii) We may assume without loss of generality that A is stable. This implies that for every $b \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})^+$ there is $b' \in A^+$ that is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to b , where A is being identified with the top corner of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Thus, we may assume without loss of generality that $b \in A^+$. Every positive element a in $(A \otimes \mathcal{K})^+$ is approximated by the elements $p_n a p_n \in M_n(A)$ (with p_n the unit of $M_n(A)$). Therefore, we may also assume without loss of generality that $a \in M_n(A)$ for some n . So we have $a, b \in M_n(A)^+$ for some n . Now the existence of $b' \in M_{2n}(A)^+$ with the desired properties is guaranteed by part (i) of the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 4. *Let A be a C^* -algebra and let $(x_k)_{k=0}^n$ be elements of $\text{Cu}(A)$ such that $x_{k+1} \ll x_k$ for all k . There is $a \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})^+$, with $\|a\| \leq 1$, such that $[a] = x_0$ and $x_{k+1} \ll [(a - k/n)_+] \ll x_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $a'_n \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})^+$ be such that $[a'_n] = x_n$ and $\|a'_n\| \leq \varepsilon$. Repeatedly applying Lemma 3 (ii), we can find positive elements $(a'_k)_{k=0}^{n-1}$ such that $[a'_k] = x_k$ and $\|a'_k - a'_{k+1}\| < \varepsilon$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. For all k we have $\|a'_0 - a'_k\| < k\varepsilon$. It follows from Lemma 1 that $d_W(a'_0, a'_k) < k\varepsilon$. Hence,

$$(a'_k - 2k\varepsilon)_+ \preceq_{Cu} (a'_0 - k\varepsilon)_+ \preceq_{Cu} a'_k.$$

Since $x_{k+1} \ll x_k$ for all k , we can choose ε small enough such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 = [a'_0] &\geq x_1 \geq [(a'_0 - \varepsilon)_+] \geq x_2 \geq [(a'_0 - 2\varepsilon)_+] \geq \dots \\ &\dots \geq [(a'_0 - (n-1)\varepsilon)_+] \geq x_n. \end{aligned}$$

Set $a'_0/(n\varepsilon) = a$. Then $[(a'_0 - k\varepsilon)_+] = [(a - k/n)_+]$ for all k . The lemma now follows by noticing that $\|a'_n\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $\|a_0 - a'_n\| < (n-1)\varepsilon$ imply that $\|a\| \leq 1$. $\square$

Theorem 4. *Let A be a C^* -algebra and let h_A be a strictly positive element of A . Let $\alpha: \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1]) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(A)$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{Cu}$ such that $\alpha([\text{id}]) \leq [h_A]$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\phi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A$ such that $d_W(\text{Cu}(\phi), \alpha) < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and let n be such that $1/2^{n-1} < \varepsilon$. Set $\alpha([e_t]) = x_t$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. By Lemma 4, we can find $a \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})^+$ such that $\|a\| \leq 1$, $[a] = x_0$, and

$$(14) \quad x_{(k+1)/2^n} \ll [(a - k/2^n)_+] \ll x_{k/2^n}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$. Let $\delta > 0$ be such that (14) still holds after replacing a by $(a - \delta)_+$. This is possible since

$$[(a - k/2^n)_+] = \sup_{\delta > 0} [(a - \delta - k/2^n)_+].$$

We have $[a] = \alpha([\text{id}]) \leq [h_A]$. By [5, Lemma 2.2], there exists $d \in A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $(a - \delta)_+ = dh_A d^*$. Set $h_A^{1/2} d^* dh_A^{1/2} = a'$. Then a' is in A^+ and is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to $(a - \delta)_+$. It follows that $(a' - t)_+$ is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to $(a - \delta - t)_+$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, $[(a' - k/2^n)_+] = [(a - \delta - k/2^n)_+]$ for $k = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$. So we have found a positive element a' in A^+ such that

$$x_{(k+1)/2^n} \ll [(a' - k/2^n)_+] \ll x_{k/2^n}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$. Notice also that $\|a'\| = \|(a - \delta)_+\| < 1$.

Let $\phi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A$ be such that $\phi(\text{id}) = a'$. Then

$$\text{Cu}(\phi)([e_{k/2^n}]) \leq \alpha([e_{k/2^n}]) \text{ and } \alpha([e_{(k+1)/2^n}]) \leq \text{Cu}(\phi)([e_{k/2^n}]).$$

Any interval of length $1/2^{n-1}$ contains an interval of the form $(k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n)$ for some k . Thus, for every $t \in [0, 1]$ there exists k such that $(k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n) \subseteq (t, t + 1/2^{n-1})$. It follows that

$$\text{Cu}(\phi)([e_{t+1/2^{n-1}}]) \leq \text{Cu}(\phi)([e_{k/2^n}]) \leq \alpha([e_{k/2^n}]) \leq \alpha([e_t])$$

and

$$\alpha([e_{t+1/2^{n-1}}]) \leq \alpha([e_{(k+1)/2^n}]) \leq \text{Cu}(\phi)([e_{k/2^n}]) \leq \text{Cu}(\phi)([e_t]).$$

These inequalities imply that $d_W(\text{Cu}(\phi), \alpha) \leq 1/2^{n-1} < \varepsilon$. $\square$

3.3. Weak cancellation in $\text{Cu}(A)$.

Proposition 3. *Suppose that $(A \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$ has the property (I) of Theorem 1. Then $\text{Cu}(A)$ has weak cancellation.*

Proof. Suppose that $[a] + [c] \ll [b] + [c]$ for $[a]$, $[b]$, and $[c]$ in $\text{Cu}(A)$. Let us choose a , b , and c , such that $ac = bc = 0$. Taking supremum over $\delta > 0$ in $[(b - \delta)_+] + [(c - \delta)_+]$ we get that $[a] + [c] \leq [(b - \delta)_+] + [(c - \delta)_+]$ for some $\delta > 0$. Hence, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are a_1 and c_1 in $(A \otimes \mathcal{K})^+$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + c_1 &\in \text{Her}((b - \delta)_+ + (c - \delta)_+), \\ a_1 &\sim (a - \varepsilon)_+, \quad c_1 \sim (c - \varepsilon)_+, \text{ and } a_1 c_1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that $\varepsilon < \delta/2$. Let us show that a_1 is Cuntz smaller than b .

Let $g \in C_0(0, 1]$ be such that $0 \leq g(t) \leq 1$, $g(t) = 1$ for $t \geq \delta - \varepsilon$ and $g(t) = 0$ for $t \leq \delta/2$. Then $g((c - \varepsilon)_+) + g(b)$ is a unit for a_1 and c_1 .

We have $g(c_1) \sim g((c - \varepsilon)_+)$. Let x be such that $g(c_1) = xx^*$ and $g((c - \varepsilon)_+) = x^*x$. From $(g(b) + x^*x)xx^* = xx^*$ we deduce that $(1 - (g(b) + x^*x))x = 0$. Let $w \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$ be given by

$$w = x + \sqrt{1 - (g(b) + x^*x)}.$$

Then we have $w^*w = 1 - g(b)$. From $a_1 g(c_1) = 0$ and $g(c_1) = xx^*$ we get that $a_1 x = 0$. Also $a_1(1 - (g(b) + x^*x)) = 0$. We conclude that $aw = 0$. Let $\tilde{b}$ be defined by $ww^* = 1 - \tilde{b}$. Since we have assumed that the property (I) holds in $(A \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$, we have $w^*w + 1 \sim_{ap} ww^* + 1$. From this we deduce $1 - w^*w \sim_{ap} 1 - ww^*$, i.e., $g(b) \sim_{ap} \tilde{b}$. So $\tilde{b} \sim_{Cu} g(b) \preceq_{Cu} b$. On the other hand, from $a_1 w = 0$ we deduce that $a_1 \tilde{b} = a_1$, and so $a_1 \leq \|a_1\| \tilde{b}$. Hence $a_1 \preceq_{Cu} \tilde{b} \preceq b$.

We have $[(a - \varepsilon)_+] = [a_1] \leq [b]$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get $[a] \leq [b]$ as desired. $\square$

3.4. Proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. The uniqueness of the homomorphism ϕ is clear by Theorem 1. Let us prove its existence. By Theorem 4, for every n there exists $\phi_n: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A$ such that $d_W(\text{Cu}(\phi_n), \alpha) < 1/2^{n+2}$. It follows from Theorem 1 that

$$d_U(\phi_n(\text{id}), \phi_{n+1}(\text{id})) \leq 4d_W(\text{Cu}(\phi_n), \text{Cu}(\phi_{n+1})) < 1/2^n.$$

This implies that $(\phi_n(\text{id}))_n$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the pseudometric d_U . By Corollary 2, A^+ is complete with respect to d_U . Hence, there exists $\phi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A$ such that $d_U(\phi(\text{id}), \phi_n(\text{id})) \rightarrow 0$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} d_W(\text{Cu}(\phi), \alpha) &\leq d_W(\text{Cu}(\phi), \text{Cu}(\phi_n)) + d_W(\text{Cu}(\phi_n), \alpha), \\ &\leq d_U(\text{Cu}(\phi), \text{Cu}(\phi_n)) + d_W(\text{Cu}(\phi_n), \alpha) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

So $d_W(\text{Cu}(\phi), \alpha) = 0$. By Propositions and Proposition 2, d_W is a metric. Therefore $\text{Cu}(\phi) = \alpha$. $\square$

4. EXAMPLES AND COUNTEREXAMPLES

4.1. Algebras with the property (I). The following proposition provides us with examples of C^* -algebras with the property (I) of Theorem 1.

Proposition 4. *The following propositions hold true.*

- (i) *If A is a C^* -algebra of stable rank 1 then (I) holds in A .*
- (ii) *If X is a locally compact Hausdorff space such that $\dim X \leq 2$ and $\check{H}^2(X) = 0$, then (I) holds in $(C_0(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$.*
- (iii) *If (I) holds in A it also holds in every hereditary subalgebra and every quotient of A .*
- (vi) *If $A \cong \varinjlim A_i$ and (I) holds in the C^* -algebras A_i then it also holds in A .*

Proof. (i) Let $x, e \in A$ be as in Theorem 1 (I). Let B be the smallest hereditary subalgebra of A containing x^*x and xx^* . Then B has stable rank 1, and e is a unit for B . It is well known that in a C^* -algebra of stable rank 1 Murray-von Neumann equivalent positive elements are approximately unitarily equivalent in the unitization of the algebra. Therefore, there are unitaries $u_n \in B^\sim$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $u_n^*x^*xu_n \rightarrow xx^*$. We also have $u_n^*eu_n = e$ for all n , since e is a unit for B . Hence $u_n^*(x^*x + e)u_n \rightarrow xx^* + e$, as desired.

(ii) Let $x, e \in (C_0(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$ be as in Theorem 1 (I). For every $t \in X$ the operators $x^*(t)x(t) + e(t)$ and $x(t)x^*(t) + e(t)$, in $\mathcal{K}^\sim$, are approximately unitarily equivalent, since $\mathcal{K}^\sim$ has stable rank 1. Let us denote by $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ the scalar such that $x^*x + e - \lambda \cdot 1 \in C_0(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $xx^* + e - \lambda \cdot 1 \in C_0(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Then the selfadjoint elements $x^*x + e - \lambda \cdot 1$ and $xx^* + e - \lambda \cdot 1$ have the same eigenvalues for any point $t \in X$, and so by Thomsen's [10, Theorem 1.2] they are approximately unitarily equivalent in $C_0(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$. (Thomsen's result is stated for selfadjoint elements of $C_0(X) \otimes M_n$, but it easily extends to selfadjoint elements of $C_0(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$). It follows that $x^*x + e$ and $xx^* + e$ are approximately unitarily equivalent in $(C_0(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$.

(iii) The property (I) passes to hereditary subalgebras because approximate Murray-von Neumann equivalence does (by Corollary 1).

In order to consider quotients by closed two-sided ideals we first make the following claim: for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|x(1 - e)\| < \delta$ and $\|(1 - e)x\| < \delta$, with e a positive contraction, then $d_W(x^*x + e, xx^* + e) < \varepsilon$. In order to prove this we notice that the inequality $d_W(x^*x + e, xx^* + e) < \varepsilon$ is implied by a finite set of relations of Cuntz comparison on positive elements obtained by functional calculus on $x^*x + e$ and $xx^* + e$ (see the proofs of Theorem 4 and Lemma 5 (ii)). Using the continuity of the functional calculus, the argument used in the implication (II) $\Rightarrow$ (I) of Theorem 1 can still be carried out, approximately, to obtain this finite set of Cuntz comparisons.

Let us suppose that the algebra A has the property (I). Let $x, e \in A/I$ be elements in a quotient of A such that $ex = xe = x$, and e is a positive

contraction. Let $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{e}$ be lifts of x and e , with $\tilde{e}$ a positive contraction. Let (i_λ) be an approximate identity of I . Let $\tilde{e}_\lambda \in A$ be the positive contraction defined by $1 - \tilde{e}_\lambda = (1 - \tilde{e})^{1/2}(1 - i_\lambda)(1 - \tilde{e})^{1/2}$. Then $\tilde{e}_\lambda$ is a lift of e for all λ , and $(1 - \tilde{e}_\lambda)\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}(1 - \tilde{e}_\lambda) \rightarrow 0$. Thus, we can find lifts $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{e}_\lambda$ of x and e , such that $\|(1 - \tilde{e}_\lambda)\tilde{x}\| < \delta$ and $\|\tilde{x}(1 - \tilde{e}_\lambda)\| < \delta$ for any given $\delta > 0$. By the claim made in the previous paragraph we can choose δ such that $d_W(\tilde{x}^*\tilde{x} + \tilde{e}, \tilde{x}^*\tilde{x} + \tilde{e}) < \varepsilon$, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$. Since A has the property (I), we have by Theorem 1 that $d_U(\tilde{x}^*\tilde{x} + \tilde{e}, \tilde{x}^*\tilde{x} + \tilde{e}) < 4\varepsilon$. Passing to the quotient by I we get $d_U(x^*x + e, x^*x + e) < 4\varepsilon$, and since ε is arbitrary we are done.

(iii) Let $x, e \in A$ be as in Theorem 1 (I). We may approximate these elements by the images of elements $x', e' \in A_n$, with e' a positive contraction, within an arbitrary degree of proximity. By possibly moving the elements x' and e' further along the inductive limit, we may assume that e' is approximately a unit for x' . We can then use the claim established in the proof of (ii), to get that $d_W((x')^*x' + e', x'(x')^* + e')$ can be made arbitrarily small (choosing x' and e' suitably). Since A_n has the property (I), we have that $d_U((x')^*x' + e', x'(x')^* + e')$ can be arbitrarily small. Going back to the limit algebra this implies that $d_U(x^*x + e, xx^* + e)$ is arbitrarily small, and so it is 0. $\square$

Example 5. Let D denote the unit disc in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and U its interior. Let $B \subseteq M_2(D)$ be the hereditary subalgebra

$$\begin{pmatrix} C(D) & C_0(U) \\ C_0(U) & C_0(U) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Propositions 4 (ii) and (iv), (I) holds in B . Thus, the Cuntz semigroup functor classifies the homomorphisms from $C_0(0, 1]$ to B up to stable approximate unitary equivalence. Let us show that, unlike the case of stable rank 1 algebras, stable approximate unitary equivalence and approximate unitary equivalence do not agree in B . Let $p \in B$ be the rank 1 projection $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and let $q \in B$ be a rank 1 projection that agrees with p on the boundary of D , and such that the projection induced by $1 - q$ in $D/\sim$, the disc with the boundary points identified, is nontrivial. Then p and q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent projections, and so they are stably unitary equivalent. However, if there were $u \in B^\sim$ unitary such that $u^*pu = q$, then the partial isometry $v = u^*(1 - p)$ would be constant on $\mathbb{T}$ and such that $v^*v = 1 - q$ and $vv^* = 1 - p$ is trivial. This would contradict the nontriviality of $1 - q$ in $D/\sim$.

Examples of C^* -algebras that do not have the property (I) are not hard to come by. If a unital C^* -algebra A has (I), then for any two projections p and q in A such that $p \sim q$, we have that $p + 1 \sim_{ap} q + 1$ by (I). From this we deduce by functional calculus on $p + 1$ and $q + 1$ that $1 - p \sim 1 - q$. Thus, any unital C^* -algebra where Murray-von Neumann equivalence of projections does not imply that they are unitary equivalent does not have

(I). In particular, the algebra $B^\sim$, with B as in the previous example, does not have (I).

4.2. The isometry question. The following question was posed to us by Andrew Toms: if A has stable rank 1, is it true that $d_W = d_U$? We formulate this question here for the algebras covered by Theorem 1.

Question. Suppose that A has the property (I) of Theorem 1. Is it true that $d_W = d_U$?

We do not know the answer to this question, even in the case of stable rank 1 algebras. Proposition 5 below provides some evidence that the answer is yes.

Lemma 5. *Let $A = \varinjlim (A_i, \phi_{i,j})$ be the C^* -algebra inductive limit of the sequence of C^* -algebras $(A_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ with connecting homomorphisms $\phi_{i,j}: A_i \rightarrow A_j$. Let $a, b \in A_k^+$ for some k . Then*

(i) $d_U^{A_i}(a_i, b_i) \rightarrow d_U^A(a_\infty, b_\infty)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and

(ii) $d_W^{A_i}(a_i, b_i) \rightarrow d_W^A(a_\infty, b_\infty)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$,

where a_i and b_i denote the images of a and b by the homomorphism $\phi_{k,i}$, for $i = k+1, k+2, \dots, \infty$.

Proof. (i) We clearly have $d_U^{A_n}(a_n, b_n) \geq d_U^{A_{n+1}}(a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}) \geq d_U^A(a_\infty, b_\infty)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore, it is enough to show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is n such that $d_U^{A_n}(a_n, b_n) \leq d_U^A(a_\infty, b_\infty) + \varepsilon$.

Let us denote $d_U^A(a_\infty, b_\infty)$ by r and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $u \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$ be a unitary such that $\|ua_\infty u^* - b_\infty\| < \varepsilon + r$. Since $A \otimes \mathcal{K} = \varinjlim A_i \otimes \mathcal{K}$, there are n and a unitary $u' \in (A_n \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$ such that $\|u'a_n(u')^* - b_n\| < \varepsilon + r$. Hence $d_U^{A_n}(a_n, b_n) \leq d_U^A(a_\infty, b_\infty) + \varepsilon$.

(ii) We may assume without loss of generality that $k = 1$. As before, we have $d_W^{A_n}(a_n, b_n) \geq d_W^{A_{n+1}}(a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}) \geq d_W^A(a_\infty, b_\infty)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, we need to show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is n such that $d_W^{A_n}(a_n, b_n) \leq d_W^A(a_\infty, b_\infty) + \varepsilon$.

Let us denote $d_W^A(a_\infty, b_\infty)$ by r and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let us choose a grid of points $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^m$ in $(0, 1]$ such that $t_i < t_{i+1}$ and $|t_i - t_{i+1}| < \varepsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ (e.g., choose $m \geq 1/\varepsilon$ and $t_i = i/m$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$). From the Cuntz inequality $e_{t_i+r+\varepsilon/4}(a_\infty) \preceq_{Cu} e_{t_i}(b_\infty)$ and [5, Lemma 2.2], we deduce that there exists $d_i \in A$ such that $e_{t_i+r+\varepsilon/2}(a_\infty) = d_i e_{t_i}(b_\infty) d_i^*$. Since A is the inductive limit of the C^* -algebras A_n , we can find n and $d'_i \in A_n$ such that

$$\|e_{t_i+r+\varepsilon/2}(a_n) - d'_i e_{t_i}(b_n) (d'_i)^*\| < \varepsilon/2.$$

By [5, Lemma 2.2] applied in the algebra A_n , we have that $e_{t_i+r+\varepsilon}(a_n) \preceq_{Cu} e_{t_i}(b_n)$ in A_n . Let us choose a value of n such that this inequality holds in A_n for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, and such that we also have $e_{t_i+r+\varepsilon}(b_n) \preceq_{Cu} e_{t_i}(a_n)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$.

Let $t \in [0, 1]$. Let i be the smallest integer such that $t \leq t_i$. Then $[t_i, t_i + r + \varepsilon] \subseteq [t, t + r + 2\varepsilon]$. We have the following inequalities in A_n :

$$e_{t+r+2\varepsilon}(a_n) \preceq_{Cu} e_{t_i+r+\varepsilon}(a_n) \preceq_{Cu} e_{t_i}(b_n) \preceq_{Cu} e_t(b_n).$$

The same inequalities hold after interchanging a_n and b_n . Thus, $d_W^{A_n}(a_n, b_n) \leq r + 2\varepsilon$. $\square$

Proposition 5. *Let A be such that $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is an inductive limit of algebras of the form $C(X_i) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, with $\dim X_i \leq 2$, $\check{H}^2(X_i) = 0$. Then the pseudometrics d_U and d_W agree on the positive elements of A .*

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that A is stable. Let $A = \varinjlim (C_0(X_i) \otimes \mathcal{K}, \phi_{i,i+1})$. Since both d_U and d_W are continuous (by Lemma 1), it is enough to show that they are equal on a dense subset of A^+ . Thus, we may assume that a and b belong to the image in A of some algebra $C_0(X_i) \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Furthermore, in order to show that $d_U(a, b) = d_W(a, b)$, it is enough to show, by Lemma 5, that this equality holds on all the algebras $C_0(X_j) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, with $j \geq i$. Thus, we may assume that the algebra A is itself of the form $C_0(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, with $\dim X \leq 2$ and $\check{H}^2(X) = 0$. Finally, since $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(C_0(X))$ is dense in $C_0(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, we may assume that $a, b \in M_n(C_0(X))$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

So let $a, b \in M_n(C_0(X))$ be positive elements. Set $d_W(a, b) = r$. Then for every $x \in X$ we have $d_W(a(x), b(x)) \leq r$, where d_W is now taken in the C^* -algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. From the definition of d_W we see that this means that for every $t > 0$, the number of eigenvalues of $a(x)$ that are less than t is less than the number of eigenvalues of $b(x)$ that are less than $t + r$, and vice-versa, the number of eigenvalues of $b(x)$ less than t , is less than the number of eigenvalues of $a(x)$ less than $t + r$. By the Marriage Lemma, this means that the eigenvalues of $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ may be matched in such a way that the distance between the paired eigenvalues is always less than r . By [10, Theorem 1.2], this implies that $d_U(a, b) < r$. $\square$

4.3. Counterexamples. The counterexamples of this subsection are C^* -algebras that not only do not have the property (I), but moreover the Cuntz semigroup functor does not distinguish the stable approximate unitary classes of homomorphisms from $C_0(0, 1]$ to the algebra.

Example 6. Let S^2 denote the 2-dimensional sphere. Let us show that there are homomorphisms $\phi, \psi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow M_2(C(S^2))$ such that $\text{Cu}(\phi) = \text{Cu}(\psi)$ but ϕ is not stably approximately unitarily equivalent to ψ .

Let λ_1 and λ_2 be continuous functions from S^2 to $[0, 1]$ such that $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$, $\min \lambda_2 = 0$, and $\min \lambda_1 \leq \max \lambda_2$. Let P and E be rank one projections in $M_2(C(S^2))$ such that E is trivial and P is non-trivial. Consider the positive elements

$$a = \lambda_1 P + \lambda_2(1_2 - P) \text{ and } b = \lambda_1 E + \lambda_2(1_2 - E),$$

where 1_2 denotes the unit of $M_2(C(S^2))$. Let us show that for every non-zero function $f \in C_0(0, 1]$ we have $f(a) \sim f(b)$. In view of the computation of the Cuntz semigroup of S^2 obtained in [7], it is enough to show that the rank functions of $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ are equal and non-constant. We have $f(a) = f(\lambda_1)P + f(\lambda_2)(1 - P)$ and $f(b) = f(\lambda_1)E + f(\lambda_2)(1 - E)$. It is easily verified that the rank functions of $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ are both equal to

$\mathbb{1}_U + \mathbb{1}_V$, where $U = \{x \mid f(\lambda_1(x)) \neq 0\}$, $V = \{x \mid f(\lambda_2(x)) \neq 0\}$, and $\mathbb{1}_U$ and $\mathbb{1}_V$ denote the characteristic functions of U and V . Since $\min \lambda_2 = 0$, the open set V is a proper subset of S^2 . So if V is non-empty, then the function $\mathbb{1}_U + \mathbb{1}_V$ is non-constant. On the other hand, if V is empty, then f is 0 on the interval $[0, \max \lambda_2]$; in particular, $f(\min \lambda_1) = 0$. Thus, U is a proper subset of S^2 in this case, and so $\mathbb{1}_U + \mathbb{1}_V$ is again non-constant.

Let $\phi, \psi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow M_2(C(S^2))$ be the homomorphisms such that $\phi(\text{id}) = a$ and $\psi(\text{id}) = b$. It follows from the discussion in the previous paragraph that $\text{Cu}(\phi) = \text{Cu}(\psi)$. Let us show that ϕ and ψ are not stably approximately unitarily equivalent.

Let $t = \max(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2})$ and $r > 0$. Then

$$e_t\left(\frac{a}{\lambda_1}\right) = (1-t)P \text{ and } e_{t+r}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda_1}\right) = (1-t-r)E.$$

In order that $e_{t+r}(b/\lambda_1)$ be Cuntz smaller than $e_t(a/\lambda_1)$ the value of r must be at least $1-t$. Thus, $d_W(\frac{a}{\lambda_1}, \frac{b}{\lambda_1}) \geq 1-t$. Hence $\frac{a}{\lambda_1} \not\sim_{ap} \frac{b}{\lambda_1}$, and so $a \not\sim_{ap} b$. It follows that ϕ and ψ are not stably approximately unitarily equivalent.

Next we construct a simple AH C^* -algebra for which the Cuntz semigroup functor does not classify the homomorphisms from $C_0(0, 1]$ into the algebra.

Let us recall the definition given in [13] of a diagonal homomorphism from $C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ to $C(Y) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ (here X and Y are compact Hausdorff spaces). Let $(p_i)_{i=1}^n$ be mutually orthogonal projections in $C(Y) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and let $\lambda_i: Y \rightarrow X$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be continuous maps. Let us define a homomorphism $\phi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ by

$$\phi(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n (f \circ \lambda_i) p_i.$$

The homomorphism ϕ gives rise to a homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}$ from $C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ to $C(Y) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ as follows: $\tilde{\phi}$ is the composition of $\phi \otimes \text{id}: C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow C(Y) \otimes \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ with $\text{id} \otimes \alpha: C(Y) \otimes \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow C(Y) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, where α is some isomorphism map from $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ to $\mathcal{K}$. A homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}$ obtained in this way is said to be a diagonal homomorphism arising from the data $(p_i, \lambda_i)_{i=1}^n$ (the choice of α does not change the approximate unitary equivalence class of $\tilde{\phi}$).

Theorem 7. *There exists a simple stable AH C^* -algebra A , and homomorphisms $\phi, \psi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A$, such that $\text{Cu}(\phi) = \text{Cu}(\psi)$ but ϕ and ψ are not approximately unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. Let us define the sequence of topological spaces $(X_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ by $X_1 = \text{CP}(1)$ and $X_{i+1} = X_i \times \text{CP}(n_i)$, where $n_i = 2 \cdot (i+1)!$ and $\text{CP}(n)$ denotes the complex projective space of dimension $2n$. For every n let us denote by η_n the rank one projection in $C(\text{CP}(n)) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ associated to the canonical line bundle of $\text{CP}(n)$. For every i let $\pi_i: X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$ denote the projection map onto X_i . Let $\tilde{\phi}_i: C(X_i) \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow C(X_{i+1} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ denote the diagonal

homomorphism given by the data $(1, \pi_i) \cup (\eta_{n_i}^j, \delta_{y_i^j})_{j=1}^i$, where $(\eta_{n_i}^j)_{j=1}^i$ are mutually orthogonal projections all Murray-von Neumann equivalent to η_{n_i} , and $\delta_{y_i^j}: X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$ is the constant map equal to $y_i^j \in X_i$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, i$. It is possible, and well known, to choose the points y_i^j in such a way that the inductive limit $A = \varinjlim (C(X_i) \otimes K, \phi_i)$ is a simple C^* -algebra (see [13]). Let us show that this inductive limit A provides us with the desired example.

Let $a, b \in C(X_1) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ be the two positive elements constructed in the proof of Theorem 6 (notice that X_1 is homeomorphic to S^2). Set $\phi_{1,i}(a) = a_i$ and $\phi_{1,i}(b) = b_i$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, \infty$. For $i = 2, \dots, \infty$, let us denote by ϕ_{a_i} and ψ_{b_i} the homomorphisms from $C_0(0, 1]$ to A_i associated to the positive elements a_i and b_i . Since $\text{Cu}(\phi_{a_i}) = \text{Cu}(\psi_{b_i})$, we have $\text{Cu}(\phi_{a_\infty}) = \text{Cu}(\psi_{b_\infty})$. Let us show, on the other hand, that the homomorphisms ϕ_{a_∞} and ψ_{b_∞} are not approximately unitarily equivalent. Equivalently, let us show that $d_U(a_\infty, b_\infty) > 0$. By Lemma 5, it suffices to show that $d_U(a_n, b_n)$ does not tend to 0. Let us show that $d_U(a_n, b_n) \geq (\min \lambda_1)(1 - \max(\lambda_2/\lambda_1))$ for all n , where λ_1 and λ_2 are the functions used in the definition of a and b in Theorem 6.

Let us denote by $\tilde{\eta}_i \in C(X_i) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ the projection $e_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_i \otimes \dots \otimes 1$, where η_i is placed in the i -th position of the tensor product. Here we view $C(X_i) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ as the tensor product

$$(C(\text{CP}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{K}) \otimes C(\text{CP}(n_2)) \otimes \dots \otimes C(\text{CP}(n_i)).$$

Let p be an arbitrary projection in $C(X_1) \otimes \mathcal{K}$. It was observed in [13] that the image of p by $\phi_{1,i}$ is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to the projection

$$(p \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1) \oplus k_1 \tilde{\eta}_1 \oplus k_2 \tilde{\eta}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus k_i \tilde{\eta}_i,$$

where $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$. In this expression the multiplication by the coefficients k_i indicates the orthogonal sum of k_i copies of the projection $\tilde{\eta}_i$. In a similar manner, one can show that for every scalar function $\lambda \in C(X_1)$ the image of λp by $\phi_{1,i}$ is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to

$$\lambda(p \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1) \oplus \bigoplus_j \lambda(y_1^j) \tilde{\eta}_1 \oplus \bigoplus_j \lambda(y_2^j) \tilde{\eta}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \bigoplus_j \lambda(y_i^j) \tilde{\eta}_i.$$

Since a and b have both the form $\lambda_1 p \oplus \lambda_2 q$, for some projections p and q , and scalar functions λ_1 and λ_2 , the formula above allows us to compute the images of a and b in $C(X_i) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, i.e., the elements a_i and b_i , up to Murray-von Neumann equivalence. Thus, a_i is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1 \tilde{\eta}_1 \oplus \bigoplus_j \lambda_1(y_1^j) \tilde{\eta}_1 \oplus \bigoplus_j \lambda_1(y_2^j) \tilde{\eta}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \bigoplus_j \lambda_1(y_i^j) \tilde{\eta}_i \oplus \\ & \lambda_2 \tilde{\eta}'_1 \oplus \bigoplus_j \lambda_2(y_1^j) \tilde{\eta}_1 \oplus \bigoplus_j \lambda_2(y_2^j) \tilde{\eta}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \bigoplus_j \lambda_2(y_i^j) \tilde{\eta}_i, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\eta}'_1 = (1_2 - \eta_1) \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1$. A similar expression holds for b_i .

Let $a'_i = a_i/\lambda_1$ and $b'_i = b_i/\lambda_1$. Let $t = \max(\lambda_2/\lambda_1)$. Let us show that $d_W(a'_i, b'_i) \geq 1-t$. We have that $(a'_i - t)_+$ is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to

$$(15) \quad (1-t)\tilde{\eta}_1 \oplus \bigoplus_j \alpha_{1,j}(y)\tilde{\eta}_1 \oplus \bigoplus_j \alpha_{2,j}(y)\tilde{\eta}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \bigoplus_j \alpha_{i,j}(y)\tilde{\eta}_i \oplus \\ \bigoplus_j \beta_{1,j}(y)\tilde{\eta}_1 \oplus \bigoplus_j \beta_{2,j}(y)\tilde{\eta}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \bigoplus_j \beta_{i,j}(y)\tilde{\eta}_i,$$

where $\alpha_{k,j}(y) = (\frac{\lambda_1(y_k^j)}{\lambda_1(y)} - t)_+$ and $\beta_{k,j}(y) = (\frac{\lambda_2(y_k^j)}{\lambda_1(y)} - t)_+$ for $k, j = 1, \dots, i$. It follows that

$$[(a'_i - t)_+] \leq [\tilde{\eta}_1] + \sum_{j=2}^i 2k_j[\tilde{\eta}_j]$$

in the Cuntz semigroup of $C(X_i) \otimes \mathcal{K}$. For the element $(b'_i - t)_+$ an expression identical to (15) may be found, except that the first summand of (15) is replaced with the term $(1-t)(1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1)$. It follows that for all $r < 1-t$ we have $[1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1] \leq [(b'_i - t - r)_+]$. Since we do not have $[1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1] \leq [\tilde{\eta}_1] + \sum_{j=2}^i 2k_j[\tilde{\eta}_j]$ (because the total Chern class of the projection on the right side is nonzero), we conclude that $d_W(a'_i, b'_i) \geq 1-t$. By Lemma 1 we have $d_U(a'_i, b'_i) \geq 1-t$. Hence

$$d_U(a_i, b_i) \geq (\min \lambda_1) \cdot d_U(a'_i, b'_i) \geq (\min \lambda_1) \cdot (1 - \max(\lambda_2/\lambda_1)). \quad \square$$

5. CLASSIFICATION BY THE FUNCTOR $\text{Cu}(\cdot \otimes \text{Id})$

Let A and B be C^* -algebras. For $a \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})^+$ a contraction, let us denote by d_W^a the pseudometric on the Cuntz category morphisms from $\text{Cu}(A)$ to $\text{Cu}(B)$ given by

$$d_W^a(\alpha, \beta) := d_W(\alpha \circ \text{Cu}(\phi_a), \beta \circ \text{Cu}(\phi_a)),$$

where $\phi_a: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is such that $\phi(\text{id}) = a$. We consider the set $\text{Mor}(\text{Cu}(A), \text{Cu}(B))$ endowed with the uniform structure induced by all the pseudometrics d_W^a . A basis of entourages for this uniform structure is given by the sets

$$U_{F, \varepsilon} = \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid d_W^a(\alpha, \beta) < \varepsilon, a \in F\},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ and F runs through the finite subsets of positive contractions of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

We will prove the following theorem, of which Theorem 3 of the introduction is an obvious corollary.

Theorem 8. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite set $F \subset C_0(0, 1] \otimes C_0(0, 1]$, and $\delta > 0$, such that*

$$(\text{Cu}(\phi \otimes \text{Id}), \text{Cu}(\psi \otimes \text{Id})) \in U_{F, \delta} \Rightarrow d_U(\phi(\text{id}), \psi(\text{id})) < \varepsilon,$$

for any pair of homomorphisms $\phi, \psi: C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow A$, where the C^* -algebra A is an inductive limit of the form $\varinjlim C(X_i) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, with X_i compact metric spaces and $\dim X_i \leq 2$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$.

Before proving Theorem 8 we need some preliminary definitions and results. We will consider the relation of Murray-von Neumann equivalence on projections in matrix algebras over possibly non-compact spaces. If P and Q are projections in the algebra $M_n(C_b(X))$ of continuous, bounded, matrix valued functions on X , we say that P and Q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent, and denote this by $P \sim Q$, if there is $v \in M_n(C_b(X))$ such that $P = vv^*$ and $Q = vv^*$. For a subset U of X , assumed either open or closed, we say that P is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to Q on the set U if the restrictions of P and Q to U are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in the algebra $M_n(C_b(U))$.

Lemma 6. *Let X be a finite CW-complex of dimension at most 2, and let C be a closed subset of X . If P and Q are projections in $M_n(C(X))$ such that P is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to Q on the set C , then there exists a finite subset F of $X \setminus C$ such that P is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to Q on $X \setminus F$.*

Proof. Let X_1 denote the 1-skeleton of X and $(\Delta_i)_{i=1}^m$ the 2-cells of X . Suppose that $(\Delta_i)_{i=1}^{m_0}$ are the 2-cells intersected by the open set $X \setminus C$. Choose points $x_i \in \Delta_i \setminus C$ for $i \leq m_0$, and let F be the set of these points. Since $X \setminus F$ contracts to $X_1 \cup \bigcup_{i > m_0} \Delta_i$, it is enough to show that P is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to Q on $X_1 \cup \bigcup_{i > m_0} \Delta_i$ (see [12, Theorem 1]). Let v be a partial isometry defined on $\bigcup_{i > m_0} \Delta_i$ such that $P = vv^*$ and $Q = v^*v$ on $\bigcup_{i > m_0} \Delta_i$ (v exists by hypothesis). Let us show that v extends to $X_1 \cup \bigcup_{i > m_0} \Delta_i$. For this, it is enough to show that v extends from $X_1 \cap \bigcup_{i > m_0} \Delta_i$ to X_1 . This is true by [6, Proposition 4.2 (1)] (applied to 1-dimensional spaces). $\square$

Proposition 6. *Let X be a finite CW-complex of dimension at most 2. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose that $a, b \in M_n(C(X))^+$ are of the form*

$$(16) \quad a = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j \lambda_j, \quad b = \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j \lambda_j,$$

where $(P_j)_{j=1}^n$ and $(Q_j)_{j=1}^n$ are sequences of orthogonal projections of rank 1, $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^n$ is a sequence of scalar functions such that $\lambda_j \geq \lambda_{j+1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, and

$$(17) \quad \sum_{j=1}^i P_j \sim \sum_{j=1}^i Q_j \text{ on the set } \{x \in X \mid \lambda_i(x) - \lambda_{i+1}(x) \geq \varepsilon\},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ (for $i = n$ we take $\lambda_{i+1} = 0$ in (17)). Then $d_U(a, b) < 2\varepsilon$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and a and b be as in the statement of the lemma. Let us perturb the elements a and b by modifying the functions $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^n$ in the following way: For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let us denote by C_i the set $\{x \in X \mid \lambda_i(x) - \lambda_{i+1}(x) \geq \varepsilon\}$. By (17) and Lemma 6, there are finite sets $F_i \subseteq X \setminus C_i$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^i P_j$ is Murray-von Neumann to $\sum_{j=1}^i Q_j$ on $X \setminus F_i$ for

$i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let us choose the sets F_i so that they are disjoint for different i (it is clear from the proof of Lemma 6 that this is possible). Furthermore, for every $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i$ let us choose an open neighbourhood $U(x)$ of x such that $U(x) \cap U(x') = \emptyset$ for $x \neq x'$ and $U(x) \cap C_i = \emptyset$ for $x \in F_i$. Starting with $i = 1$, and proceeding to $i = 2, \dots, n$, let us perturb the function λ_{i+1} on the set $\bigcup_{x \in F_i} U(x)$ by an amount less than ε , and so that $\lambda_{i+1}(x) = \lambda_i(x)$ for x in some open set V_i such that $F_i \subset V_i$ and $\overline{V_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in F_i} U(x)$.

Since the sets $\bigcup_{x \in F_i} U(x)$ are disjoint for different values of i , the resulting perturbations of a and b are within a distance of ε of their original values. These perturbations, which we continue to denote by a and b , satisfy that

$$(18) \quad a = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j \lambda_j, \quad b = \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j \lambda_j,$$

$$(19) \quad \sum_{j=1}^i P_j \sim \sum_{j=1}^i Q_j \text{ on } X \setminus V_i, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$(20) \quad V_i \subseteq \{x \mid \lambda_i(x) = \lambda_{i+1}(x)\}, \text{ and } V_i \text{ is open.}$$

The proposition will be proved once we show that, under the conditions (18)-(20), the elements a and b are Murray-von Neumann equivalent. This amounts to finding a sequence of orthogonal projections $(R_i)_{i=1}^n$ in $M_n(C(X))$ such that $a = \sum_{j=1}^n R_j \lambda_j$, and $R_i \sim Q_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let us show that this is possible.

The sequence $(R_i)_{i=1}^n$ will be obtained by a series of modifications on the sequence $(P_i)_{i=1}^n$. Let k_0 be the smallest index such that $P_{k_0} \sim Q_{k_0}$. From $\sum_{j=1}^{k_0-1} P_j \sim \sum_{j=1}^{k_0-1} Q_j$ and (19), we get that $P_{k_0} \sim Q_{k_0}$ on $X \setminus V_{k_0}$ (since there is cancellation of projections over spaces of dimension at most 2). Let v be the partial isometry defined on $X \setminus V_{k_0}$ such that $P_{k_0} = vv^*$ and $Q_{k_0} = v^*v$ on $X \setminus V_{k_0}$. It is guaranteed by [6, Proposition 4.2 (1)] that v can be extended to a partial isometry w on X such that $w^*w = Q_{k_0}$ and $ww^* \leq P_{k_0} + P_{k_0+1}$. Set $ww^* = P'_{k_0}$, with w being such an extension of v . Then P'_{k_0} is such that $P'_{k_0} \sim Q_{k_0}$, $P'_{k_0} \leq P_{k_0} + P_{k_0+1}$, and $P'_{k_0}(x) = P_{k_0}(x)$ for all $x \in X \setminus V_{k_0}$. Let P'_{k_0+1} be the projection such that $P'_{k_0} + P'_{k_0+1} = P_{k_0} + P_{k_0+1}$. We have

$$P_{k_0} \lambda_{k_0} + P_{k_0+1} \lambda_{k_0+1} = P'_{k_0} \lambda_{k_0} + P'_{k_0+1} \lambda_{k_0+1}.$$

Thus, replacing P_{k_0} and P_{k_0+1} by P'_{k_0} and P'_{k_0+1} respectively, we obtain a new sequence of projections $(P_i)_{i=1}^n$ that satisfies (18) and (19), and also $P_k \sim Q_k$ for $k \leq k_0$. Continuing this process we obtain the desired sequence $(R_i)_{i=1}^n$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 8. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ (and assume $\varepsilon < 1$). Let $g_\varepsilon \in C_0(0, 1]$ be a function such that $g_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{\varepsilon}{t}$ for $t \in [\varepsilon, 1]$, and $0 \leq g_\varepsilon(t) \leq 1$ for $t \in (0, 1]$. Let $F \subseteq C_0(0, 1] \otimes C_0(0, 1]$ be the set $F = \{\text{id} \otimes \text{id}, \text{id} \otimes g_\varepsilon\}$. Let us prove that

$$(\text{Cu}(\phi \otimes \text{Id}), \text{Cu}(\psi \otimes \text{Id})) \in U_{F, \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}} \Rightarrow d_U(\phi(\text{id}), \psi(\text{id})) < 2\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2},$$

where ϕ , ψ , and A are as in the statement of the theorem. Let us express what we wish to prove in terms of positive contractions (via the bijection $\phi \mapsto \phi(\text{id})$). For $a, b \in A$ positive contractions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_W^{\text{id} \otimes \text{id}}(a \otimes \text{id}, b \otimes \text{id}) &= d_W(a \otimes \text{id}, b \otimes \text{id}), \\ d_W^{\text{id} \otimes g_\varepsilon}(a \otimes \text{id}, b \otimes \text{id}) &= d_W(a \otimes g_\varepsilon, b \otimes g_\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we want to show that

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} d_W(a \otimes \text{id}, b \otimes \text{id}) &< \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}, \\ d_W(a \otimes g_\varepsilon, b \otimes g_\varepsilon) &< \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}, \end{aligned} \Rightarrow d_U(a, b) < 2\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2},$$

for a and b positive contractions.

Let us first show that if we have (21) for the C^* -algebras $(A_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ of a sequential inductive system, then we also have (21) for their inductive limit A . By the continuity of the pseudometrics d_W and d_U (see Lemma 1), it is enough to prove (21) assuming that a and b belong to a dense subset of the positive contractions of A . Thus, we may assume that a and b are the images in A of positive contractions in some C^* -algebra A_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose we have $a', b' \in A_i$ such that their images in A satisfy the inequalities of the left side of (21). By Lemma 5 (ii), it is possible to move a' and b' along the inductive limit to a C^* -algebra A_j , $j \geq i$, so that these same inequalities hold in the C^* -algebra A_j . We conclude that $d_U^{A_j}(\phi_{i,j}(a'), \phi_{i,j}(b')) < \varepsilon$. Moving a and b back to the limit we get the right side of (21).

From the discussion of the previous paragraph, it is enough to prove (21) for $A = C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, with X a compact metric space of dimension at most 2. Moreover, since a compact metric space of dimension at most 2 is a sequential projective limit of finite CW-complexes of dimension at most 2 (see [4, Theorem 1.13.5]), we are reduced to proving (21) for the case that $A = C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, where X is a finite CW-complex of dimension at most 2.

Let us suppose $A = C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, where X is a finite CW-complex of dimension at most 2. It is enough to prove (21) assuming that $a, b \in M_n(C(X))$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, by Choi and Elliott's [1, Theorem 1], we may assume that $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ have distinct eigenvalues (as matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$) for all $x \in X$. (Choi and Elliott's Theorem implies that such a set is dense in the set of positive contractions of $M_n(C(X))$ for $\dim X \leq 2$.) This implies (see the proof of [10, Theorem 1.2]) that a and b have the form

$$(22) \quad a = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j \lambda_j \quad \text{and} \quad b = \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j \mu_j,$$

for some sequences of orthogonal projections of rank 1 $(P_i)_{i=1}^n$ and $(Q_i)_{i=1}^n$, and scalar eigenfunctions $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^n$ and $(\mu_i)_{i=1}^n$, such that $1 \geq \lambda_1(x) > \lambda_2(x) > \dots > 0$ and $1 \geq \mu_1(x) > \mu_2(x) > \dots > 0$.

From $d_W(a \otimes \text{id}, b \otimes \text{id}) < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ we deduce that $d_W(a, b) < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ (evaluating id at $t = 1$), and so $\|\lambda_i - \mu_i\| < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ for all i (see the proof of Theorem 5). Let

$b' \in M_n(C(X))$ be given by $b' = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i \lambda_i$. Then $d_U(b, b') < \varepsilon^2/2$ and

$$d_W(a \otimes g_\varepsilon, b' \otimes g_\varepsilon) \leq d_W(a \otimes g_\varepsilon, b \otimes g_\varepsilon) + d_W(b \otimes g_\varepsilon, b' \otimes g_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon^2.$$

The implication (21) will be proven once we have shown that

$$d_W(a \otimes g_\varepsilon, b' \otimes g_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon^2 \Rightarrow d_U(a, b') < 2\varepsilon.$$

In order to prove this, it is enough to show that the left side of this implication implies (17) of Proposition 6 (applied to the elements a and b'). Let us choose $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that $d_W(a \otimes g_\varepsilon, b' \otimes g_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon'\varepsilon$. By the definition of d_W we have that

$$(a \otimes g_\varepsilon - (\varepsilon - \varepsilon'\varepsilon))_+ \preceq_{Cu} (b' \otimes g_\varepsilon - (\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2))_+.$$

Let us identify $M_n(C(X)) \otimes C_0(0, 1]$ with $M_n(C_0(X \times (0, 1]))$ and express the Cuntz comparison above in terms of the projections $(P_i)_{i=1}^n$ and $(Q_i)_{i=1}^n$, and the eigenfunctions $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^n$. We get

$$(23) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n P_j(x)(\lambda_j(x)g_\varepsilon(t) - \varepsilon + \varepsilon'\varepsilon)_+ \preceq_{Cu} \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j(x)(\lambda_j(x)g_\varepsilon(t) - \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)_+,$$

for $(x, t) \in X \times (0, 1]$. Note: this Cuntz relation comparison is not to be understood as a pointwise relation, but rather as a relation in the C^* -algebra $M_n(C(X \times (0, 1]))$.

For $i \leq n$ let us define the set

$$T_i = \{x \in X \mid \lambda_{i+1}(x)/\lambda_i(x) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ and } \lambda_i(x) \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Let $C_i \subseteq X \times (0, 1]$ be the closed set $C_i = \{(x, \lambda_i(x)) \mid x \in T_i\}$. Restricting the Cuntz comparison (23) to the set C_i , and using the definition of g_ε , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_i} - (1 - \varepsilon') \right)_+ + P_2 \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_i} - (1 - \varepsilon') \right)_+ + \cdots + \varepsilon' P_i \preceq_{Cu} \\ Q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_i} - (1 - \varepsilon) \right)_+ + Q_2 \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_i} - (1 - \varepsilon) \right)_+ + \cdots + \varepsilon Q_i, \end{aligned}$$

on the closed set T_i . It follows that $\sum_{j=1}^i P_j \preceq_{Cu} \sum_{j=1}^i Q_j$ on T_i . In the same way we can prove that $\sum_{j=1}^i Q_j \preceq_{Cu} \sum_{j=1}^i P_j$ on T_i , and so $\sum_{j=1}^i P_j \sim \sum_{j=1}^i Q_j$ on T_i . If $\lambda_i(x) - \lambda_{i+1}(x) \geq \varepsilon$ then $\lambda_{i+1}(x)/\lambda_i(x) \leq 1 - \varepsilon$ and $\lambda_i(x) \geq \varepsilon$. Hence, $\{x \in X \mid \lambda_i(x) - \lambda_{i+1}(x) \geq \varepsilon\} \subseteq T_i$. Therefore, the elements a and b' satisfy the condition (17) of Proposition 6. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. D. Choi and G. A. Elliott, *Density of the selfadjoint elements with finite spectrum in an irrational rotation C^* -algebra*, Math. Scand. **67** (1990), 73–86.
- [2] A. Ciuperca and G. A. Elliott, *A remark on invariants for C^* -algebras of stable rank one*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2008), no. 5.
- [3] K. T. Coward, G. A. Elliott, and C. Ivanescu, *The Cuntz semigroup as an invariant for C^* -algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **623** (2008), 161–193.

- [4] R. Engelking, *Dimension theory*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1978.
- [5] E. Kirchberg and M. Rørdam, *Infinite non-simple C^* -algebras: absorbing the Cuntz algebras $\mathcal{O}_\infty$* , Adv. Math. **167** (2002), 195–264.
- [6] N. C. Phillips, *Recursive subhomogeneous algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), no. 10, 4595–4623 (electronic).
- [7] L. Robert, *The Cuntz semigroup of some spaces of dimension at most 2* (2007), preprint, arXiv:0711.4396v2.
- [8] M. Rørdam, *The stable and the real rank of $\mathcal{Z}$ -absorbing C^* -algebras*, Internat. J. Math. **15** (2004), 1065–1084.
- [9] M. Rørdam and W. Winter, *The Jiang-Su algebra revisited*, J. Reine Angew. Math., to appear.
- [10] K. Thomsen, *Homomorphisms between finite direct sums of circle algebras*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **32** (1992), no. 1, 33–50.
- [11] ———, *Inductive limits of interval algebras: unitary orbits of positive elements*, Math. Ann. **293** (1992), no. 1, 47–63.
- [12] Leonid N. Vaserstein, *Vector bundles and projective modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **294** (1986), 749–755.
- [13] J. Villadsen, *On the stable rank of simple C^* -algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 4, 1091–1102.